Psychobiologic Mechanisms of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

Dennis S. Charney, MD; Ariel Y. Deutch, PhD; John H. Krystal, MD; Steven M. Southwick, MD; Michael Davis, PhD

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is an illness of considerable prevalence, often characterized by high morbidity, treatment resistance, and a chronic course. The core symptoms of PTSD include persistent reexperiencing of the traumatic event, avoidance of stimuli associated with the trauma, and autonomic hyperarousal. We propose several neurobiologic mechanisms that may account for these primary symptoms of PTSD. Preclinical investigations of the effects of stress on learning and memory processes suggest that fear conditioning, behavioral sensitization, and a failure of extinction may be important in the persistence and reexperiencing of traumatic memories and stressor sensitivity. The pathophysiology of PTSD may involve dysfunction of several brain structures, particularly the amygdala, locus coeruleus, and hippocampus, as well as noradrenergic, dopamine, opiate, and corticotropinreleasing factor neurochemical systems. Acutely, severe psychological trauma results in the parallel activation of these systems, producing an array of adaptive behavioral and physiologic responses necessary for survival. In PTSD, however, these acute responses appear to evolve into maladaptive neurobiologic sequelae. These changes may relate to the chronicity of PTSD symptoms and the poor response to treatment given long after the original trauma. Future clinical investigations of the pathophysiology of PTSD should focus on documenting neurobiologic dysfunctions in these patients with an eye toward developing more effective therapeutic approaches that counteract the acute responses to trauma.

I can't get the memories out of my mind! The images come flooding back in vivid detail, triggered by the most inconsequential things, like a door slamming or the smell of stir-fried pork. Last night I went to bed, was having a good sleep for a change. Then in the early morning a storm-front passed through and there was a bolt of crackling thunder. I awoke instantly, frozen in fear. I am right back in Vietnam, in the middle of the monsoon season at my guard post. I am sure I'll get hit in the next volley and convinced I will die. My hands are freezing, yet sweat pours from my entire body. I feel each hair on the back of my neck standing on end. I can't catch my breath and my heart is pounding. I smell a damp sulfur smell. Suddenly I see what's left of my buddy Troy, his head on a bamboo platter, sent back to our camp by the Viet Cong. Propaganda messages are stuffed between his clenched teeth. The next bolt of lightning and clap of thunder makes me jump so much that I fall to the floor.

Perhaps there are no more vivid memories than those that are stored in the brains of soldiers who have experienced excruciatingly horrible combat situations. Witness the above account of the 48-year-old Vietnam veteran who cannot hear a clap of thunder, see an Oriental woman, or touch a bamboo placemat without reexperiencing the sight of his decapitated friend. Even though this occurred in a faraway place more than 24 years ago, the memory is still vivid in every detail and continues to produce a state of hyperarousal and fear similar to that experienced that fateful day.

Once called combat fatigue, war neurosis, or shell shock, and now posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), it is clear that intense trauma can produce vivid memories that can last a lifetime and an increased sensitivity to many types of stress long after the trauma. Although only recognized as a distinct diagnostic entity in 1980, current data suggest that PTSD is a disorder of considerable prevalence and morbidity.^{2,3} Posttraumatic stress disorder may be a consequence of other precipitants besides combat, including sexual or physical trauma, but the resulting clinical picture shares common symptomatic elements and, in many patients, may become chronic.⁴⁻¹⁰

In light of the belated recognition of PTSD as a distinct diagnostic entity, it is not surprising that there has been comparatively little research directed toward understanding the phenomenology, course, and neurobiology of PTSD. Few investigations have been conducted to identify vulnerability factors that predispose individuals exposed to trauma to the subsequent development of PTSD. The course of PTSD has not been well characterized, particularly in relation to type, severity, and duration of trauma exposure. Studies that focus on elucidating the pathophys iologic changes that occur in the brain following severe psychological trauma are only now being initiated.

The dearth of clinical neurobiologic research on PTSD stands in contrast to a number of investigations of the be havioral, biochemical, and neurophysiologic effects of fear and stress in laboratory animals. These studies provide insight into certain neural processes that either contribute to the origin of PSTD or alternatively may be involved in the maintenance of pathologic features.¹¹

Herein, we attempt to synthesize the findings of preclinical investigations of learning and memory processes and the neurochemical effects of stress with clinical studies of PTSD to develop a set of hypotheses related to the pathogenesis of PTSD. These data suggest that the primary symptoms of PTSD—the persistent reexperiencing of the traumatic event, avoidance of stimuli associated with the trauma, and the symptoms of increased arousal—are related to the neural mechanisms involved in fear conditioning, experimental extinction, and behavioral sensitization, as well as the altered function of specific brain regions and neurochemical systems (Table 1).

Accepted for publication July 6, 1992.

From the National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Department of Verterans Affairs Medical Center, West Haven, Conn (Drs Charney, Deutch, Krystal, and Southwick), and the Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Conn (Drs Charney, Deutch, Kyrstal, Southwick, and Davis).

Reprint requests to the Department of Psychiatry, Yale University, West Haven VA Medical Center, 950 Campbell Ave, West Haven, CT 06516 (Dr Charney).

Mechanism	Description	Neurochemical Systems	Brain Regions	Clinical Relevance
Fear conditioning	Animals exposed to emotionally neutral stimulus (conditioned stimulus [CS] in conjunction with an aversive stimulus (unconditioned stimulus [UCS]) will subsequently exhibit a conditioned fear response (CR) to the CS in the absence of the UCS	NMDA receptors, noradrenergic, opiate	Amygdala, locus coeruleus, thala- mus, hippocampus	Fear conditioning may account for the common clinical observation in patients with PTSD that sensory and cognitive stimuli associated with or resembling the original trauma elicit symptoms, including anxiety, flashbacks, and hyper- arousal; this results in the frequent reexperiencing of the traumatic event, a persistent avoidance of such stimuli, and a compensatory numbing of general responsiveness
Extinction	There is a reduction in the CR when the CS is presented repeatedly in the absence of the UCS; this may result from learning a new inhibitory memory that opposes the original memory	NMDA receptors	Sensory cortex, amygdala	A failure in extinction in PTSD may relate to the persistence in recalling traumatic memories
Sensitization	Increase in response mag- nitude following repeat- ed administration of a stimulus or presentation of a different strong stimulus	Dopaminergic, noradrenergic, NMDA receptors	Nucleus accumbens, striatum, hypothala- mus, amygdala	Sensitization may explain the increased responsiveness of patients with PTSD to stress both related and unrelated to the original trauma; dopaminergic and noradrenergic dysfunction may account for persistent symptoms of increased arousal and the potentiated responses to cocaine; alcohol opiates, and benzodiazepines may be used to reduce symptoms associated with fear conditioning and sensitization

^{*}NMDA indicates N-methyl-D-aspartate.

hose periness who is, or ight in a still that

ock, lear can pes zed gest and conling ture any

inct een

nd-

tify

sed

The

cu-

ma .ys-

ere

SD

be-

ear

in-

to

he

re-

es

ıd-

he

ıa-

of

th

re

li-

a-

ns

al

NEURAL MECHANISMS OF LEARNING AND MEMORY: RELEVANCE TO THE REEXPERIENCING SYMPTOMS OF PTSD

Fear Conditioning and Associative Memories

In patients with PTSD, vivid memories of the traumatic event, autonomic arousal, and even flashbacks can be elicited by diverse sensory and cognitive stimuli that have been associated with the original trauma. 12,13 Consequently, patients begin to avoid these stimuli in their everyday life, or a numbing of general emotional responsiveness occurs. Classic conditioning phenomena, which are easily demonstrated in the laboratory, may explain some of these observations. Animals exposed to an emotionally neutral visual or auditory conditioned stimuli in conjunction with an aversive unconditioned stimulus will subsequently exhibit a conditioned emotional (fear) reaction to the conditioned stimuli in the absence of the unconditioned stimulus. These changes can last for years in laboratory animals14 and are used to infer that a state of fear has been produced.15 Hence, neural analysis of fear conditioning in animals can be used to examine the brain mechanisms involved in learning and remembering associations of stimuli with traumatic events.

Several different paradigms have been employed to study the neuroanatomic and neurochemical substrates of fear conditioning. These investigations have demonstrated that fear conditioning to visual and auditory stimuli can be mediated by subcortical mechanisms, involving sensory pathways that project to the thalamus and amygdala. If thas been suggested that emotional memories established via thalamoamygdala pathways may be relatively indelible. If

The fear-potentiated startle paradigm has been particularly useful for delineation of the mechanisms of fear conditioning because fear is measured by a change in a simple reflex mediated

by a defined neural pathway in the brain stem and spinal cord. This test is sensitive to anxiolytic drugs and is disrupted by anatomic lesions known to affect conditioned fear.¹⁸ It also may be relevant to PTSD given that many patients with PTSD exhibit increased startle responses,¹⁹ an abnormality generally not reported in other psychiatric disorders. The central nucleus of the amygdala plays a critical role in the fear-potentiated startle response because it projects directly to one of the brain-stem nuclei necessary for startle,²⁰ and lesions of this pathway block the ability of conditioned or unconditioned fear stimuli to elevate the startle response.^{21,22}

The neurochemical systems involved in the regulation of the fear-potentiated startle response include the noradrenergic, dopaminergic, opiate, and corticotropin-releasing systems. 18 In addition, N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) antagonists infused into the amygdala prevent the acquisition of fear-potentiated startle.23 These data indicate that an NMDA receptor-mediated process at the level of the amygdala may be critical for development of fear conditioning. In fact, recent studies have demonstrated that long-term potentiation, an activity-dependent enhancement of synaptic transmission, 24.25 can be produced in the amygdala measured in brain sections26 or in vivo following stimulation of the medial geniculate body. Previous work has shown that projections from the medial geniculate body to the amygdala may mediate the formation of memories established by pairing an acoustic stimulus with a footshock.16 These findings raise the possibility that long-term potentiation in the amygdala may be related to the encoding of the traumatic memories so vividly associated with PTSD.17

Other behavioral paradigms indicate that noradrenergic neuronal systems can be activated by neutral environmental stimuli previously paired with shock. Neutral stimuli paired with shock produce increases in brain norepinephrine (NE) metabolism and

behavioral deficits similar to that elicited by the shock.^{28,29} In the freely moving cat, the firing rate of cells in the locus coeruleus (LC) can be increased by presenting a neutral acoustic stimulus previously paired with an air puff to the whiskers, which also increases firing and is aversive to the cat.³⁰ There is also a body of evidence indicating that an intact noradrenergic system may be necessary for the acquisition of fear-conditioned responses.^{31,32}

A Possible Failure of Extinction in PTSD

It is possible that the continued ability of conditioned stimuli to elicit traumatic memories and flashbacks in PTSD results from a deficit in the neural mechanisms involved in response reduction or extinction. Experimental extinction is defined as a loss of a previously learned conditioned emotional response following repeated presentations of a conditioned fear stimulus in the absence of a contiguous traumatic event. The form of learning that occurs during extinction is still unclear. Although several different theoretical mechanisms have been proposed, two general classes of theory have emerged. 33 Extinction has been explained in terms of either an "erasure" of the original associations that led to the production of the conditioned response³⁴ or the acquisition of new associations that compete with or "mask" the expression of the still intact, response-producing associations.35 Both of these hypothesize that new learning occurs as a result of nonreinforcement but make very different predictions regarding the fate of the conditioned response-producing associations. The erasure hypothesis predicts that following nonreinforcement, the responseproducing associations no longer exist, and, therefore, the conditioned response can no longer be performed. The masking hypothesis predicts that the response-producing associations remain after nonreinforcement, and, therefore, if it were possible to temporarily remove the masking associations, the conditioned response could be performed.

Several lines of evidence suggest that the original associations are intact following extinction. For example, Bouton and colleagues³⁶⁻³⁸ have shown that the expression of extinction is specific to the stimulus context in which nonreinforcement occurred. Placing the animal into a context different from the one in which nonreinforcement occurred results in a return of the conditioned response. In addition, several experiments have shown that the representation of the unconditioned stimulus following extinction is sufficient for reinstating extinguished responding to some preextinction level. 39-41 In rats, even 1 year after extinction has occurred (ie, more than a third of the lifetime of the animal), the aversive memory can be restored to its original magnitude by a single training trial.⁴² This indicates the essentially permanent nature of conditioned fear and the apparent fragility of extinction. This phenomenon may help to explain the common clinical observation that traumatic memories may remain dormant for many years, only to be elicited by a subsequent stressor or unexpectedly by a stimulus long ago associated with the original trauma.43,44

These studies indicate that extinction does not erase the original aversive memory but instead involves the learning of a new memory that masks or inhibits the original one. It is important to emphasize, however, that although extinction can be overcome, in healthy animals extinction does result in a reduction of the conditioned fear response. Using traditional measures of conditioned fear, such as freezing, potentiated startle, or autonomic indexes, nonreinforcement leads to a reduction in all of these measures. In healthy humans, many childhood fears become extinguished and do not intrude daily in adulthood. In contrast, patients with PTSD describe persistent traumatic memories that do not extinguish. Thus, it is conceivable that patients with PTSD have deficits in brain systems involved in extinction.

The amygdala is not only involved in the acquisition and expression of conditioned fear responses but may also be necessary for extinction. The NMDA antagonists infused into the amygdala prevent the extinction of fear-potentiated startle. Thus, activity in the amygdala during nonreinforced stimuli presentations may be essential for extinction of conditioned fear stimuli. This may

result from processes within the amygdala itself or via structures that project to the amygdala (eg, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex, or septal area) and have been implicated in extinction in several experimental paradigms. Extinction of conditioned fear responses may represent an active suppression by the cortex of subcortical neural circuits (thalamus or amygdala) that maintain learned associations over long time periods. 16.46

Neural Mechanisms of Behavioral Sensitization and Stress Sensitivity in PTSD

Many patients with PTSD experience chronic symptoms of increased arousal, including insomnia, poor concentration, hypervigilance, exaggerated startle response, and autonomic hyperreactivity. Patients with PTSD demonstrate an increased susceptibility to psychosocial stress in general. 47.48 Several features of behavioral sensitization suggest that this process may account for the persistent symptoms of increased arousal and stress sensitivity in PTSD.

Sensitization generally refers to the increase in response magnitude that occurs following exposure to a stimulus. The response being measured may be neurophysiologic (eg, spike magnitude), behavioral (locomotor activity), or pharmacologic (extracellular dopamine [DA] concentrations). The stimulus may be environmental (eg, footshock) or pharmacologic (eg, amphetamine administration). Moreover, cross-sensitization (augmented response to a different stimulus than the original evoking stimulus) may occur. Although sensitization is frequently considered as an enhancement of response magnitude following repeated presentation of stimuli, a critical variable also involves the time interval between the initial stimulus and subsequent measurements. Thus, a single stimulus can elicit behavioral sensitization, provided that sufficient time has elapsed between the initial presentation and subsequent reexposure.⁴⁹

Posttraumatic stress disorder may occur in response to a single precipitating trauma or alternatively after repeated traumatic events. The symptoms of PTSD persist long after the initial traumatic event(s); sensitization also appears to be an enduring phenomenon. Finally, the stimuli that evoke intrusive memories, flashbacks, and related symptoms in patients with PTSD are often difficult to determine and may bear only a distant association to the initial evoking stimulus. Such cross-sensitization to different stimuli has been extensively documented and suggests a common target structure or system through which the stimuli are processed.

It should be noted, however, that cross-sensitization to different stimuli is not always present. Moreover, the development of a sensitized response to a particular challenge (eg, footshock) following long-term exposure to a different stimulus, such as cocaine administration, may not be present in reverse order, ie, cross-sensitization may not occur if the challenge is cocaine and the chronic stressor is footshock. The observation that there are limitations to the stimuli to which cross-sensitization can occur is similar to that observed in PTSD. Certain environmental stimuli are particularly salient and evoke flashbacks in patients with PTSD, whereas others do not.

A large number of brain structures and neurochemical systems have been implicated in the behavioral sensitization that occurs in response to repeated stress or a single stressor of sufficient magnitude. This is perhaps not surprising given that sensitization can be demonstrated in individual cells. However, the mechanisms of the development and expression of stress-induced sensitization in mammals have been most extensively studied in catal echolaminergic systems, particularly in the mesotelencephalic dopaminergic systems.

Single or repeated exposure to a stressor potentiates the capacity of a subsequent stressor to increase DA function in the fore brain^{51,52} without apparently altering basal DA turnover. The mechanisms involved in the development and expression of DA mediated behavioral sensitization appear to be different. The intiation of DA-mediated sensitization is thought to involve the stimulation of D₁ DA receptors in response to increased somators.

Table 2.—Neurochemical Responses to Severe Stress Related to Primary Symptoms of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Neurochemical System **Functional Alteration Brain Regions Involved** PTSD Symptoms Noradrenergic Increased regional norepineph-Locus coeruleus, hippocampus, Anxiety, fear, hypervigilance, rine turnover, increased autonomic hyperarousal, amygdala, hypothalamus, responsiveness of locus coer-"fight or flight" readiness, cerebral cortex uleus neurons encoding of traumatic memories, facilitation of sensorimotor responses Dopamine Increased dopamine release in Prefrontal cortex, nucleus frontal cortex and nucleus accumbens, activation of mesocortical dopamine Opiate Increased endogenous opiate Periaqeductal gray cerebral Analgesia, emotional blunting, release, decreased density of cortex, amygdala encoding of traumatic μ opiate receptors memories Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal Acutely elevated glucorticoid Hippocampus, locus coeruleus, Metabolic activation, learned levels, elevated corticotropinamygdala behavior responses, anxiety

dendritic release of DA in the midbrain. The increased release of DA from the dendrites of DA neurons may be due to an alteration in y-aminobutyric acid (GABA) regulation of the DA neurons.5 The sensitization of D₁ DA receptors may be an NMDA receptordependent process.55 The relevant cellular and transsynaptic effects of D₁ receptor stimulation remain to be clarified.

releasing factor

ures

tex.

erai

x of

tain

f in-

per-

rre-

ires unt

sen-

uag-

nse

de),

onadre-

lus)

s an

en-

rval

nts.

oro-

en-

ıgle

atic

au-

he-

ies,

of-

ion

fer-

s a

are

fer-

t of

fol-

ine

the

im-

r is

uli

rith

ms

urs

ent

ion

ha-

at-

lic

he

A-

ni-

he

al

The expression of behavioral sensitization requires that a sufficient period of time elapse (on the order of several days) for key intercellular and intracellular responses to be mounted that ultimately result in augmented DA function. This may be analogous to the situation in patients with PTSD, in whom a certain interval is interposed between the initial traumatic event and the subsequent appearance of core PTSD symptoms. The increase in effective DA function appears largely attributable to increased release of the amine, although some degree of enhanced receptor sensitivity in the forebrain terminal fields may be present. The augmentation of DA release may occur in response to changes in key afferents to the DA neurons or through reduced autoinhibitory tone.⁵³

Behavioral sensitization to stress may also involve alterations in noradrenergic function. Limited shock exposure that does not increase NE utilization in control rats does increase NE release in animals previously exposed to the stressor.56 Moreover, changes in noradrenergic function in animals subjected to long-term shock require lower shock currents (decreased stressor intensity) than required under acute conditions.57 An in vivo study observed augmented extracellular NE concentrations in the hippocampus, whereas ex vivo measurements of noradrenergic metabolites in response to chronic stress indicated a sensitized response in the hypothalamus but not hippocampus.58 It is not clear to what degree this reflects differences in metabolic disposition of NE in the two regions, as opposed to actual differences in sensitization processes. Nonetheless, regional specificity in biochemical indexes of the expression of sensitization may be important. A recent in vivo dialysis investigation demonstrated stress-induced sensitization of NE release in the medial prefrontal cortex.59

Neurochemical Effects of Stress and the Primary Symptoms of PTSD

Stress produces profound alterations in multiple neurotransmitter systems. A comprehensive review of these effects is beyond the scope of this review. In the following section, we evaluate the effects of stress on the neurotransmitters and neuropeptides that have been the most extensively studied and appear related to the neural mechanisms of fear conditioning and sensitization. Examination of the preclinical data concerning the neurochemical substrates of the stress response provide a context to consider clinical investigations of PTSD (Table 2).

and fear responses

Noradrenergic System

Stressful stimuli of many types produce marked increases in brain noradrenergic function. Stress produces regional selective increases in NE turnover in the LC, limbic regions (hypothalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala), and cerebral cortex. It has recently been demonstrated that immobilization stress, footshock stress, tail pinch stress, and conditioned fear increase noradrenergic metabolism in the hypothalamus and

The responsiveness of the noradrenergic system to stress is consistent with the notion that the elevated sense of fear or anxiety associated with stress may be a critical factor. 29,60-67 Neurons in the LC are activated in association with fear and anxiety states, 68,69 and the limbic and cortical regions innervated by the LC are those thought to be involved in the elaboration of adaptive responses to stress. 70 A particularly dramatic example was the recent demonstration that LC-NE neurons in freely moving cats were activated twofold to threefold by confrontation with either a dog or an aggressive cat, although exposure to other novel stimuli (such as a nonaggressive cat) did not increase the firing rate.71

A series of investigations have shown that certain stressors elicit increased responsiveness of LC neurons to excitatory stimulation.72,73 These changes have been associated with α2adrenergic autoreceptor subsensitivity, similar to that observed by α_2 -receptor blockade. In fact, antagonism of α_2 -receptors with idazoxan hydrochloride or yohimbine increases the response of LC neurons to excitatory stimuli without altering their baseline firing rate. Chronic blockade of opiate, 5-hydroxytryptamine, and GABA receptors does not appear to influence LC responsiveness.72,73 Consistent with these findings, acute cold restraint stress results in decreased density of az-receptors in the hippocampus and amygdala.74 The stress-induced increase in NE turnover is also associated with a decrease in postsynaptic beta receptor density. 65,75,76

Clinical Implications

Clinical Implications

The findings that stress increases noradrenergic function and that fear conditioning and behavioral sensitization are related to alterations in noradrenergic activity may have important implications for understanding the pathophysiology and course of PTSD (Tables 1 and 2). In particular, many of the chronic symptoms experienced by patients with PTSD, such as panic attacks,

insomnia, startle, and autonomic hyperarousal, are characteristic of increased noradrenergic function. $^{77-79}$

Stress-induced increases in noradrenergic function may be related to frequent abuse of alcohol, opiates, and benzodiazepines by patients with PTSD in attempts to relieve their symptoms. Acute alcohol administration has been reported to reduce stress-induced increases in NE turnover in the amygdala and the LC, but not in the hypothalamus, hippocampus, and cerebral cortex. Opiates, such as morphine, decrease stress-induced increases in NE release in the amygdala, hippocampus, hypothalamus, thalamus, and midbrain. Benzodiazepine drugs, including diazepam, attenuate stress-induced increases in NE release in the hypothalamus, hippocampus, cerebral cortex, and the LC region. 1

Pathophysiologic Studies

Most of the early clinical investigations of the pathophysiology of PTSD identified a relationship between severe stress exposure, increased peripheral sympathetic nervous system activity, and conditioned physiologic and emotional responses.82-84 Since the early 1980s, a series of well-designed psychophysiologic studies have been conducted that have further documented heightened autonomic or sympathetic nervous system arousal in combat veterans with chronic PTSD. Combat veterans with PTSD have been shown to have higher resting mean heart rate and systolic blood pressure, as well as greater increases in heart rate; when exposed to visual and auditory combat-related stimuli compared with combat veterans without PTSD,85 patients with generalized anxiety disorder,85 or healthy subjects.85 Furthermore, several psychophysiologic studies have found hyperreactive responses to combat-associated stimuli but not to other stressful non-combatrelated stimuli.91 Because central noradrenergic (LC) and peripheral sympathetic systems may function in concert, 2 these data are consistent with the hypothesis that noradrenergic hyperreactivity in patients with PTSD may be associated with the conditioned or sensitized responses to specific traumatic stimuli. Studies evaluating the efficacy of psychotherapeutic techniques emphasizing desensitization to reduce hyperarousal responses to stimuli associated with the psychological trauma represent a current focus of investigation. 93,94

Neuroendocrine studies and investigations of peripheral cate-cholamine receptor systems have also provided evidence of dys-regulated peripheral sympathetic nervous system activity in PTSD. Two of three studies have found significantly elevated 24-hour urine NE excretion in combat veterans with PTSD compared with healthy subjects or patients with schizophrenia or major depression. Tonsistent with this observation, it has been reported that the density of platelet α_2 -adrenergic receptors is reduced in PTSD, perhaps reflecting adaptive "downregulation" in response to long-standing elevated levels of circulating endogenous catecholamines.

Noradrenergic function has also been probed by determining the behavioral, biochemical, and cardiovascular responses to the α2-adrenergic receptor antagonist yohimbine.77,78 As predicted from the preclinical studies reviewed above, combat veterans with PTSD have exhibited enhanced behavioral, biochemical, and cardiovascular responses to yohimbine. The yohimbine-induced increase in plasma 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenolglycol was more than twice as great in patients with PTSD as in healthy subjects. Approximately 60% and 40% of the patients experienced yohimbine-induced panic attacks and flashbacks, respectively, that could not be accounted for by comorbid panic disorder.9 The incidence of yohimbine-induced panic attacks in patients with PTSD approximates that observed in patients with panic disorder. 78,100 In contrast, yohimbine rarely induces panic attacks in healthy subjects or in patients with schizophrenia, major depression, generalized anxiety disorder, or obsessive-compulsive disorder.101 These findings suggest that PTSD and panic disorder may have similar pathophysiologic dysfunctions in the regulation of noradrenergic function. However, the causes of the two syndromes may differ, with panic disorder more associated with

genetic factors and PTSD with severe environmental trauma. The treatment implications of these observations remain to be established but suggest that specific PTSD symptoms (eg, anxiety, panic attacks, flashbacks, and autonomic hyperarousal) may be particularly responsive to drugs that reduce noradrenergic function, such as clonidine hydrochloride. 102-104 It should be noted, however, that patients with panic disorder and those with PTSD have different therapeutic responses to tricyclic compounds. Patients with panic disorder derive great benefit from these drugs, whereas those with PTSD have more modest responses. 105

DOPAMINERGIC SYSTEM

Acute stress increases DA release and metabolism in a number of specific brain areas. 106-119 However, the DA innervation of the medial prefrontal cortex appears to be particularly vulnerable to stress; sufficiently low-intensity stress (such as that associated with conditioned fear) or sufficiently brief exposure to stress increases DA release and metabolism in the prefrontal cortex in the absence of overt changes in other mesotelencephalic DA regions. 116.118 Stress can enhance DA release and metabolism in other areas receiving DA innervation, provided that greater intensity or longer-duration stress is used. 112.116 Thus, the mesoprefrontal cortical DA innervation is preferentially activated by stress compared with mesolimbic and nigrostriatal systems, 117.119 and the mesolimbic DA innervation appears to be more sensitive to stress than the striatal DA innervation. 116.117.119 The responsiveness of the mesoprefrontal DA system is heterogeneous within the region, 120 reflecting the presence of multiple cortical innervations embedded in this region. 121

The sensitivity of the mesoprefrontal cortical DA systems to stress appear to be attributable to stress increasing the firing rate of midbrain DA neurons that project to the prefrontal cortex. 112,116,117,122 The enhanced DA responsiveness of subcortical DA systems may reflect in large part changes in release of the amine occurring via presynaptic regulation. 115,116,122

The stress-induced increases in mesoprefrontal cortical DA neurons appear to be regulated by a number of chemically distinct afferent systems.¹¹⁶ For example, NMDA and opiate receptor blockade in the ventral tegmental area, the source of the cortical DA innervation, prevent stress-induced activation of the cortical DA system.¹²³⁻¹²⁵ Similarly, immunoneutralization of substance P in the ventral tegmental area prevents the cortical DA response to stress.¹²⁶ In addition, stimulation of GABA_B and benzodiazepine receptors in the ventral tegmental area attenuate the stress-elicited activation of DA neurotransmission.¹¹⁶

The forebrain DA innervations show an augmented response to repeated stress as well as repeated administration of psychostimulants, such as cocaine and amphetamines. Previous exposure to stress increases the subsequent locomotor response, subserved by DA systems of the nucleus accumbens, to cocaine challenge. Thus, stress and psychostimulants can, under certain conditions, cross-sensitize.

Clinical Implications

Stress-induced hyperactivity of central DA systems may be linked to specific PTSD symptoms, including generalized anxiety, panic attacks, hypervigilance, and exaggerated startle. ⁴⁹ The relationship between DA hyperactivity and hypervigilance is supported by the observations that amphetamines and cocaine commonly produce hypervigilance and paranoid behavior. ¹³⁰ Because chronic stress and cocaine or amphetamine administration have similar effects on DA function, patients with PTSD may have potentiated behavioral response to these drugs and may be more vulnerable to the development of paranoia or psychosis after administration of these agents (Table 1).

The DA innervations of different forebrain sites have been associated with different functions. The prefrontal cortical DA systems appear to be involved in a number of higher-level functions, including attention and "working memory." The stress-induced activation of the prefrontal cortical DA system has been proposed to be involved in the acquisition (but not execution) of

coping responses elaborated in response to stress. 116.132.133 In particular, the prefrontal cortical DA system may be involved in vigilance associated with an individual's initial response to stress and may regulate the activity of corticifugal neurons projecting to a number of sites (eg, the amygdala, entorhinal cortex, or LC) that may be directly involved in the execution of appropriate coping responses.

na. The

estab-

inxiety,

may be

ic func-

noted, n PTSD

ıds. Pa-

drugs,

lumber

of the

able to

ociated

ress in-

k in the

DA re-

in oth-

ntensi-

frontal

s com-

nd the

stress

s of the

gion,¹²⁰

mbed-

ems to

ng rate

ıl cor-

cal DA

amine

al DA

istinct

ceptor

ortical

ortical

ance P

ponse

odiaz-

stress-

ponse

ycho-

expo-

, sub-

xaine

ay be xiety,

rela-

supcom-

cause

have

e po-

more

r ad-

n as-

ions,

ress-

been

n) of

et al

If excessive responsiveness of dopaminergic systems contributes to the symptoms of PTSD, then drugs that reduce DA function would be expected to alleviate certain symptoms, especially hypervigilance and paranoia. However, prospective studies have not been performed to test this possibility. The only published data, to our knowledge, is a retrospective report of eight patients with chronic PTSD treated with neuroleptics; three (37.5%) of these patients had a moderate to good response to neuroleptic therapy. Neuroleptics are occasionally prescribed for patients with PTSD with psychotic symptoms or severe impulsivity. ^{134,135}

Endogenous Opiate System

One of the primary behavioral effects of uncontrollable stress is analgesia, which results from the release of endogenous opiates. ¹³⁶ Substantial analgesia is observed following uncontrollable but not controllable stress ¹³⁶⁻¹³⁹ and also is seen following presentation of neutral stimuli previously paired with aversive stimuli. ¹⁴⁰ There is also evidence that sensitization occurs because reexposure to less intense shock in rats previously exposed to uncontrollable shock also results in analgesia. ¹⁴¹

These effects are likely to be mediated, in part, by a stress-induced release of endogenous opiates in the brain stem because the analgesia is blocked by naltrexone hydrochloride^{136,138,141} and shows cross-tolerance to morphine analgesia. ¹³⁸ Moreover, opiate peptide levels are elevated after acute uncontrollable shock, ^{142,143} and uncontrollable, but not controllable, shock decreases the density of mu opiate receptors. ¹⁴⁴

Clinical Implications

In nontraumatized human populations, naloxone has been shown to reverse the stress-induced analgesia observed after noxious footshock. 145 Similarly, in Vietnam veterans with PTSD, naloxone has been reported to reverse the analgesia induced by stressful combat films. 146 These findings are consistent with the development of opioid-mediated stress-induced analgesia in PTSD and with the report that wounded combatants during World War II required lower doses of narcotics than civilians with less severe injuries. 147

It is unknown whether the effects of uncontrollable stress on endogenous opiates are related to the core clinical symptoms associated with PTSD. However, it has been hypothesized that in PTSD traumatic reexposure causes an increase in endogenous opiate levels that might explain compulsive reexposure to traumatic events or "addiction" to trauma. It is hypothesis has not been supported by psychophysiologic laboratory studies in which combat films fail to evoke euphoric feelings or emotional responses of calm and control. Instead, the films evoke a numbing or relative blunting of emotional responses. Clinical trials of the opiate antagonist naltrexone may help clarify the involvement of endogenous opiates in PTSD.

A role for endogenous opiates in PTSD is consistent with the clinical observations that opiates are a preferred substance of abuse among many traumatized veterans. The use of opiates by traumatized veterans may represent an attempt to self-medicate or to compensate for dysregulation of the noradrenergic and/or endogenous opiate systems. Opiate withdrawal, on the other hand, is associated with an increase in central noradrenergic activity as well as an increase in PTSD symptoms. ¹⁴⁶

HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-ADRENAL (HPA) AXIS

Unequivocal evidence shows that acute stress of many types produces increases in corticotropin (ACTH) and corticosterone levels in laboratory animals. 149 The mechanism responsible for transient stress-induced hyperadrenocorticism and feedback re-

sistance may involve a downregulation of glucocorticoid receptors. 150-152 High glucocorticoid levels (such as those elicited by acute stress) decrease the number of hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, resulting in increased corticortrosterone secretion and feedback resistance. Following stress termination, when glucocorticoid levels decrease, receptor numbers are increased and feedback sensitivity normalizes. 152-154

The effects of chronic stress on ACTH and corticosterone secretion vary depending on the experimental paradigm.¹⁵⁵ It has been reported that an adaptation to chronic stress may occur, resulting in decreased plasma ACTH and corticosterone levels compared with levels following a single stressor.¹⁵⁵⁻¹⁵⁸ However, other investigations have revealed enhanced corticosterone secretion after chronic stressor regimens.¹⁵⁹⁻¹⁶⁵ There is also evidence that the experience of prior stress may result in augmented corticosterone responses to a subsequent stress exposure.^{166,167} It is not known which factors determine whether adaptation or sensitization of glucocorticoid activity will occur following chronic stress.¹⁶⁸

Some of the behavioral deficits produced by stress may be related to effects on HPA axis function. Adrenalectomy has been demonstrated to increase the frequency of behavioral deficits induced by uncontrollable stress; this effect is reversed by corticosterone administration. ¹⁶⁹ Data from our study and others suggest that stress-induced corticosterone release may be involved in the central processing of stress-related phenomena and the subsequent learned behavioral responses.

However, there is also evidence that the learning deficits produced by uncontrollable stress may be related to neurotoxic effects of very elevated glucocorticoid levels on hippocampal neurons. The most convincing support for this assertion comes from two recent investigations in vervet monkeys. In one study, vervet monkeys who died spontaneously after experiencing sustained social stress had marked and preferential hippocampal neuronal degeneration.¹⁷⁰ In a subsequent investigation, glucocorticoid administration in vervet monkeys produced similar damage in terms of cell numbers and morphologic features in the hippocampus.¹⁷¹

Corticotropin-Releasing Factor (CRF)

Considerable data now indicate that CRF, the hypothalamic hypophysiotropic hormone that activates the pituitary-adrenal axis, is also a neurotransmitter in extrahypothalamic brain sites. ¹⁷² In laboratory animals, CRF has anxiogenic-like properties when injected centrally. ¹⁷³ Furthermore, CRF appears to play an important role in the neuroendocrine, autonomic, and behavioral responses to stress. ^{174,175} Severe stressors produce increases in CRF concentrations in the amygdala, hippocampus, and LC. ¹⁷⁶

The brain sites mediating the CRF responses to stress have not been established. However, there is accumulating data that these effects of CRF may be produced by interactions with LC noradrenergic neurons; intracerebral ventricular infusion of CRF increases NE turnover in several forebrain areas,¹⁷⁷ CRF in a dose-dependent fashion increases the firing rate of LC-NE neurons,¹⁷⁸ and a stressor that activates NE neurons markedly increases CRF concentrations in the LC.¹⁷⁶ Moreover, it has recently been demonstrated that infusion of CRF into the LC has anxiogenic activity and produces marked increases in levels of the NE metabolite 3,4-dihydroxy phenylglycol in forebrain areas, such as the amygdala and hypothalamus.¹⁷⁹ Bilateral lesions of the amygdala selectively decrease CRF concentrations in the LC.¹⁸⁰ The anxiolytic benzodiazepine alprazolam selectively decreases CRF concentrations in the LC.¹⁸¹ These data suggest that under stressful conditions, CRF and NE regions, like the LC, may participate in a mutually reinforcing feedback loop.

Also, CRF may have important effects on DA neuronal function. Intraventricular administration of the peptide increases DA metabolism in the prefrontal cortex in a manner similar to stress. ¹⁸² It is unclear, however, whether the mechanism through which the prefrontal cortex DA system is activated is the same as that subserving the stress-induced effect. ¹⁸³

Neurosteroids

A group of steroid metabolites that are formed in the brain have recently been demonstrated to potentiate GABA-elicited chloride flux.184186 Although the precise nature of steroid binding to the GABAA receptor complex remains unclear, the neurosteroids are thought to recognize a site on the GABA-benzodiazepine/ chloride ionophore complex that is distinct from both the benzodiazepine and barbiturate binding sites. 187,188 Although neurosteroids do not bind to the benzodiazepine site, their ability to enhance GABA-elicited chloride ion flux suggests that these compounds may have anxiolytic actions. Consistent with this suggestion is the observation that both brain and plasma concentrations of the neurosteroid metabolites of progesterone and deoxycorticosterone (3a-hydroxy-5a-pregnane-20-one [allopregnanolone] and 3a,21-dihydroxy-5a-pregnane-20-one [alloTH-DOCl, respectively) increase after exposure to swim stress. ¹⁸⁹ In addition, systemic administration of alloTHDOC has been shown to result in anxiolytic effects at doses lower than those required to induce sedation. 190 Recently, intraventricular administration of alloTHDOC has been shown to antagonize the stress-induced increase in prefrontal cortical DA metabolism at doses that do not result in sedation.191

Clinical Implications

There is abundant clinical evidence that acute trauma can produce profound increases in glucocorticoid levels. ¹⁹² However, it is not known whether the magnitude of these increases is sufficient to produce hippocampal neuronal cell loss, as demonstrated in the nonhuman primate studies. Magnetic resonance imaging studies capable of measuring hippocampal volume in patients with PTSD may be a useful method to evaluate this possibility.

There are comparatively few data on HPA axis function in patients with chronic PTSD. One research group, 193,194 but not another, 195 has found reduced urinary free cortisol levels in patients with PTSD compared with healthy subjects and patients with other psychiatric disorders. Consistent with a decrease in urinary free cortisol concentrations is the finding that lymphocyte glucocorticoid receptors may be increased in PTSD. 196

Several investigations have been conducted to evaluate HPA system regulatory mechanisms in PTSD. In a small sample of patients, the ACTH response to CRF was reported to be blunted in the presence of normal plasma cortisol levels. 197 Furthermore, preliminary evidence shows that some patients with PTSD may be overly sensitive to the ability of dexamethasone to suppress cortisol. 198 Considered together, these results suggest that certain central inhibitory mechanisms suppressing CRF and ACTH function may be increased in chronic PTSD, resulting in decreased basal cortisol level. This is consistent with preclinical investigations demonstrating adaptive HPA responses to chronic stress.

Despite the above findings, there is also evidence that substantial cortisol level increases can be elicited from patients with PTSD in response to intense emotional stimuli and pharmacologic agents. ^{99,192} It is possible that patients with PTSD may show exaggerated responses to novel stressors. Thus, more investigation is needed to elucidate HPA axis function in acute and chronic PTSD in relation to alterations in basal activity and regulatory mechanisms following a variety of behavioral and pharmacologic challenges.

As noted above, neurosteroids may serve as endogenous anxiolytic agents. Although there are no data concerning alterations in plasma or cerebrospinal fluid levels of either alloTHDOC or allopregnanalone in patients with PTSD, it is possible that the generation of neurosteroids may be altered in PTSD. Future studies should be devoted to the assessment of this possibility.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Preclinical investigations indicate that the neural mechanisms of fear conditioning, extinction, and sensitization may be operative in PTSD. Moreover, strong evidence suggests that noradrenergic, dopaminergic, opiate, and

HPA neuronal systems and the LC, amygdala, hypothalamus, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex are involved in these processes and are important mediators of the stress response.

The acute behavioral responses produced by the parallel activation of these brain structures and neurochemical systems by psychological and physical trauma represent adaptive responses critical for survival in a dangerous environment. For example, autonomic hyperarousal and hypervigilence facilitate appropriate rapid behavioral reactions to threat. The analgesia and blunted emotional responses following trauma produced by increased release of endogenous opioids may increase the chances of survival after serious injury. The trauma-induced increases in cortisol level may promote the metabolic activation necessary for sustained physical demands required to avoid further injury.


Although initially of benefit, there are long-term negative consequences of the acute neurobiologic responses to stress that may lead to persistent changes in synaptic transmission in limbic and cortical brain sites. A change in excitability of amygdaloid neurons produced by sensitization or fear conditioning would produce changes in a variety of hypothalamic and brain-stem targets that are involved in the somatic and autonomic signs of fear and anxiety. A reduced activation threshold of the LC would result in increased NE release at LC projection sites, including the amygdala, hippocampus, and the cerebral cortex. Least Similarly, the function of certain distinct mesocortical DA neurons is elevated by fear conditioning and sensitization. Each of these changes in neuronal activity could account for the chronic anxiety symptoms and potentiated stressor sensitivity in patients with PTSD. Land to the chronic anxiety symptoms and potentiated stressor sensitivity in patients with PTSD.

Emerging evidence suggests learning and memory difficulties in traumatized patients. 202-207 Stress-induced impairment in long-term potentiation, mediated in part by excitatory amino acid, noradrenergic, and opioid receptor systems, may be responsible for the development of learning deficits postulated or observed in PTSD. Because extinction appears to involve an active learning process, deficits in learning may impair normal extinction in patients with PTSD, leading to the abnormal persistence of emotional memories.

TRAUMATIC REMEMBRANCE AND THE AMYGDALA

Perhaps the most characteristic feature of PTSD is that the memories of traumatic experiences remain indelible for decades and are easily reawakened by all sorts of stimuli and stressors. The strength of traumatic memories relates, in part, to the degree to which certain neuromodulatory systems are activated by the traumatic experience. Evidence from experimental and clinical investigations suggests that memory processes remain susceptible to modulating influences after information has been acquired. Locus coeruleus activation by electrical stimulation or α_2 -adrenergic receptor antagonists enhance memory retrieval. Locus coeruleus activation by electrical stimulation or activation. The memory-enhancing effects of increased noradrenergic activity may be mediated by β -noradrenergic receptors within the amygdaloid complex. Decades and the activation of traumatic memories. Thus, some of the acute neurobiologic responses to trauma may facilitate the encoding of traumatic memories.

In patients with PTSD, simple sensory phenomena, such as specific smells, sounds, and visions, circumstantially related to the traumatic event persistently produce a



hal-

d in

ress

ucal

sent

en-

hy-

eac-

ona!

ease

sur-

s in

ces-

oid

ega-

s to

ptic

e in

iza-

va-

are

and

uld

ites,

bral

inct

ing

tiv-

and

47,48

dif-

im-

by

tor

ırn-

use

ess,

in

e of

hat

uli

tes,

ory

3-2ío

ns

to

ac-

ıla-

m-

of

by

m-

at-

lly

A neural model for traumatic remembrance and correlated behaviors. The original trauma produces a parallel activation of key brain regions, including the locus coeruleus, the ventral tegmental area, and the amygdala and associated norepinephrine, dopamine, opioid, and corticotropin-releasing factor systems. Studies have shown that these neurochemical activations produce adaptive behavioral responses and facilitate the encoding of the traumatic memories, possibly at the level of the amygdala. As described in the text, neural mechanisms of fear conditioning, extinction, and sensitization involve these brain regions and neurochemical systems and contribute to the persistence of traumatic memories and many other posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms. The amygdala may play a particularly key role in these processes because of its demonstrated involvement in fear conditioning and extinction and its projections to numerous brain regions (noted in parentheses) and may mediate the associated symptoms evoked by flashbacks and intrusive memories. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms are listed with the hypothesized associated brain structures. NE indicates norepinephrine; DA, dopamine.

Dorsal Motor Nucleus of the Vagus

Parasympathetic Activation:

Diarrhea, Increased Urination

recrudescence of traumatic memories and flashbacks. The brain regions mediating these processes include the amygdala, LC, hippocampus, and sensory cortex. Most of the evidence points to the amygdala as particularly important in the conditioning and extinction of sensory and cognitive associations to the original trauma and subsequent activation of traumatic memories. NMDA receptors on the amygdala are involved in these processes because NMDA antagonists applied to the amygdala and NMDA lesions of the amygdala prevent the development of fear-conditioned responses and the extinction of fear-potentiated startle.

The suggestion that the amygdala functions to attach fearful or anxious affect to neutral stimuli associated with trauma is supported by data linking the amygdala to anxiety and fear behaviors. Partial or complete destruction of

Table 3.—Therapeutic Implications of a Psychobiologic Model of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)

- Early treatment intervention may prevent the negative consequences of fear conditioning, sensitization, and other neurochemical responses to acute stress; symptoms of PTSD may respond to medications that counteract the acute stress response when these drugs are prescribed shortly after the traumatic stress.
- A rational, targeted pharmacotherapy for PTSD may be identified by the development of drugs that act on key brain structures altered by stress and involved in fear conditioning, behavioral sensitization, and extinction (ie, locus coeruleus, amygdala, and hippocampus).
- Psychotherapies designed to prevent or reverse the effects of fear conditioning may be most effective; cognitivebehavioral therapies using exposure techniques to extinguish the effects of conditioned stimuli should be evaluated.
- Medications and psychotherapy effective for acute PTSD may be less effective or ineffective for chronic PTSD because of altered neurobiologic state and the development of secondary symptoms, such as depression, guilt, and hostility.

the amygdala causes monkeys to become less fearful than usual. 214

The amygdala has direct and extensive connections to all of the sensory systems in the cortex. It is likely that many of the memories associated with traumatic events are eventually stored in the cortex. Thus, the functional interchange between the sensory cortices, where memories of each sense may be stored, and the amygdala may be critical for the ability of specific sensory input to elicit traumatic memories. In addition, the highly correlated set of behaviors associated with traumatic memories may result from activation of the amygdala. It projects to a variety of target areas that themselves are critical for the development of these behaviors²¹⁶ (Figure).

IMPLICATIONS FOR PATHOPHYSIOLOGIC AND TREATMENT STUDIES OF PTSD

Many of the hypotheses described above can be tested in clinical research studies and may have therapeutic relevance (Table 3). Most of the neurobiologic research has been conducted in patients with chronic PTSD. Investigations are required involving patients with acute PTSD. Vulnerability factors that result in the development of acute and chronic PTSD in traumatized individuals need to be identified. Clinical investigations designed to assess the neurobiologic mechanisms associated with fear conditioning and sensitization are indicated. With the use of imagery, 217 negative affective slides, 218 or threat of shock, 219 it is possible to measure fear-potentiated startle in healthy controls as well as in patients with PTSD. Such procedures may provide an objective method to evaluate whether patients with PTSD have deficits in extinction or a lack of sensitivity to conditioned inhibitors. If so, then an understanding of the basic mechanisms in animals that mediate extinction or a reduction in fear by conditioned inhibitors may have direct relevance to PTSD.

A potentially fruitful therapeutic approach is to develop drugs that specifically block conditioned fear. Considerable data suggest that sensory and cognitive associations produce a state of fear or anxiety via direct anatomic pro-

jections from cortical and subcortical brain structures to the amygdala (Figure). Drugs that act on receptors located on amygdaloid neurons themselves (eg, benzodiazepines) may be effective in reducing both conditioned fear and generalized anxiety, because both are assumed to be related to activation of the amygdala. However, under conditions of extreme stress increases in amygdala function (eg, by vivid traumatic memories), these drugs may simply be incapable of preventing stimulation of amygdaloid neurons, except at hypnotic doses. On the other hand, drugs that act selectively on the sensory pathways afferent to the amygdala (eg, by presynaptically decreasing the release of transmitters connecting cortical or subcortical afferents to the amygdala) could be much more effective in blocking conditioned fear, one of the core symptoms of PTSD. In fact, depending on the specificity of these afferent connections and the specificity of the drugs, selective decreases in conditioned fear might be achieved without concomitant effects on alertness and motivation. Drugs that alter function in brain regions to which the amygdala projects may be effective in blocking other specific signs and symptoms of PTSD. Thus, the proposed relationships among dysfunction of specific brain structures and neurochemical systems and clinical symptoms raise the possibility of discovering a rational, targeted pharmacotherapy for PTSD.

This work was supported by the National Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The authors thank Evelyn Testa for excellent manuscript

preparation.

Some of the concepts discussed in this article have been published by the authors in the chapter "Neurobiological Mechanisms of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder." In: Tasman A, Riba MR, eds. Review of Psychiatry, Vol 11. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1992:347-367.

References

1. Davis M. Analysis of aversive memories using the fear potentiated startle paradigm. In: Butters N, Squire LR, eds. *The Neuropsychology of Mem*

ory. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1992.
2. Kulka RA, Schlenger WE, Fairbank JA, Hough RL, Jordan BK, Marmar CR, Weiss DS. Trauma and the Vietnam War Generation. New York, NY: Brunner/Mazel; 1990.

- 3. Davidson JRT, Hughes D, Blazer DG, George LK. Post traumatic stress disorder in the community: an epidemiological study. *Psychol Med.* 1991; 21:713-721.
- Terr LC. Chowchilla revisited: the effects of psychic trauma four years after a school-bus kidnapping. Am J Psychiatry. 1983;140:1543-150.
 Terr LC. Psychic trauma in children and adolescents. Psychiatr Clin
- North Am. 1985;8:815-835.
- 6. Pynoos RS, Frederick C, Nader K, Arroyo W, Steinberg A, Eth S, Nunez F, Fairbanks L. Life threat and posttraumatic stress in school-age children.
- 7. Anomalis C. Elle threat and postratumatic stress in school-age children.
 Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1987;44:1057-1063.
 7. Sonnenberg SM. Victims of violence and post-traumatic stress disorder.
 Psychiatr Clin North Am. 1988;11:581-590.
 8. Coons PM, Bowman ES, Pellow TA, Schneider P. Post-traumatic aspects
- of the treatment of victims of sexual abuse and incest. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 1988;11:581-590.
- 9. Weissaeth L. The stressors and the post-traumatic stress syndrome after
- an industrial disaster. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 1989;80:25-37.

 10. Dahl S. Acute response to rape: a PTSD variant. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.*
- 11. vanderKolk B, Greenberg M, Boyd H, Krystal J. Inescapable shock, neurotransmitters, and addiction to trauma: toward a psychobiology of post traumatic stress. Biol Psychiatry. 1985;20:314-325.
- 12. Litz BT, Keane TM. Information processing in anxiety disorders: application to the understanding of post-traumatic stress disorder. Clin Psychol Rev. 1989;9:243-257.
- 13. McNally RJ, Luedke DL, Besyner JK, Peterson RA, Bohm K, Lips OJ. Sensitivity to stress relevant stimuli in post traumatic stress disorder. J Anxiety Disord. 1987;1:105-116.
- 14. Hoffman HS, Selekman W, Fleishler M. Stimulus aspects of aversive controls: long term effects of suppression procedures. J Exp Anal Behav. 1966:9:659-662.
- 15. Davis M. Animal models of anxiety based upon classical conditioning: the conditioned emotional response and fear potentiated startle effect.

Pharmacol Ther. 1990;47:147-165.

- 16. LeDoux JE, Cicchetti PO, Xagoraris A, Romanski LM. The lateral amygdaloid nucleus: sensory interface of the amygdala in fear conditioning. J Neurosci. 1990;10:1062-1069.
- 17. LeDoux JE, Romanski L, Xagoraris A. Indelibility of subcortical emotional memories. J Cognitive Neurosci. 1989;1:238-243.
- 18. Davis M. Pharmacological and anatomical analysis of fear conditioning using the fear-potentiated startle paradigm. Behav Neurosci. 1986;100: 814-824.
- 19. Butler RW, Braff DL, Rausch JL, Jenkins MA, Sprock J, Geyer MA. Physiological evidence of exaggerated startle response in a subgroup of Vietnam veterans with combat-related PTSD. Am J Psychiatry. 1990;147:1308-
- 20. Rosen JB, Hitchcock JM, Sananes CB, Miserendino MJD, Davis M. A direct projection from the central nucleus of the amygdala to the acoustic startle pathway: anterograde and retrograde tracing studies. *Behav Neurosci*. 1191:105:817-825.
- 21. Hitchcock JM, Davis M. Efferent pathway of the amygdala involved in conditioned fear as measured with the fear-potentiated startle paradigm. Behav Neurosci. 1991;105:826-842.
- 22. Hitchcock JM, Sananes CB, Davis M. Sensitization of the startle reflex by footshock: blockade by lesions of the central nucleus of the amygdala or its efferent pathway to the brainstem. Behav Neurosci. 1989;103:509-518.
- 23. Miserendino MJD, Sananes CB, Melia KR, Davis M. Blocking of acquisition but not expression of fear potentiates startle by NMDA antagonists in the amygdala. *Nature*. 1990;345:716-718.
- 24. Bliss TVP, Lomo T. Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of anesthetized rabbits following stimulation of the per-
- forant path. J Physiol (Lond). 1973;232:331-356.
 25. Swanson LW, Teyler TJ, Thompson RF. Hippocampal long-term potentiation: mechanisms and implications for memory. Neurosci Res Program Bull. 1982;20:5.
- 26. Chapman PF, Kairiss EW, Keenan CL, Brown TH. Long term synaptic potentiation in the amygdala. Synapse. 1990;6:271-278.
- 27. Clugnet MC, LeDoux. Synaptic plasticity in fear conditioning circuits: induction of LTP in the lateral nucleus of the amygdala by stimulation of the
- medial geniculate body. *J Neurosci*. 1990;10:2818-2824. 28. Cassens G, Kuruc A, Roffman M, Orsulak P, Schildkraut JJ. Alterations in brain norepinephrine metabolism and behavior induced by environmental stimuli previously paired with inescapable shock. Behav Brain Res. 1981; 2:387-407
- 29. Tanaka M, Ida Y, Tsuda A, Nagasaki N. Involvement of brain noradrenaline and opioid peptides in emotional changes induced by stress in rats. In: Oomura Y, ed. Emotions: Neural and Chemical Control. Tokyo, Japan: Scientific Societies Press; 1986:417-427.
- 30. Rasmussen K, Marilak DA, Jacobs BL. Single unit activity of the locus coeruleus in the freely moving cat, I: during naturalistic behaviors and in re-
- sponse to simple and complex stimuli. *Brain Res.* 1986;371:324-334, 31. Tsaltas E, Gray JA Fillenz M. Alleviation of response suppression to conditioned aversive stimuli by lesions of the dorsal noradrenergic bundle. Behav Brain Res. 1987;13:115-127.
- 32. Cole BJ, Robbins TW. Dissociable effects of lesions to dorsal and ventral noradrenergic bundle on the acquisition performance, and extinction of
- aversive conditioning. *Behav Neurosci*. 1987;101:476-488.
 33. Wagner AR, Rescorla RA. *Inhibition in Pavlovian Conditioning*. Orlando, Fla: Academic Press Inc; 1972.
- 34. Estes WK. Statistical theory of spontaneous recovery and regression. Psychol Rev. 1955;62:145-154.
- 35. Konorski J. Conditioned Reflexes and Neuronal Organization. Lon-
- don, England: Cambridge University Press; 1948.

 36. Bouton ME, Bulles RC. Contexts, event memories, and extinction. In: Balsam PDD, Tomic KA, eds. Context & Learning. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1985:133-166.
- 37. Bouton ME, King DA. Contextual control of conditioned fear: tests for the associative value of the context. J Exp Psychol Anim Behav. 1983;9:248-256.
- 38. Bouton ME, King DA. Effect of context with mixed histories of reinforcement and nonreinforcement. *J Exp Psychol Anim Behav.* 1986;12:
- 39. Bouton ME, Bolles RC. Role of contextual stimuli in reinstatement of extinguished fear. J Exp Psychol Anim Behav. 1979;5:368-378. 40. Pavlov JP. Conditioned Reflexes. Oxford, England: Oxford University
- Press; 1927.
- 41. Rescola RA, Heth CD. Reinstatement of fear to extinguished conditioned stimulis. J Exp Psychol Anim Behav. 1975;104:88-96.
- 42. McAllister WR, McAllister DE. Reconditioning of extinguished fear
- after a one-year delay. Bull Psychonomic Soc. 1988;26:463-466.
 43. VanDyke C, Zilberg NJ, MacKinnon JA. Post traumatic stress disorder: a thirty year delay in a World War II veteran. Am J Psychiatry. 1985;142:
- 44. Solomen Z, Garb K, Bleich A, Grupper D. Reactivation of combat related post traumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1987;144:51-55. 45. Falls WA, Miserendino MJD, Davis M. Excitatory amino acid antag-
- onists infused into the amygdala block extinction of fear-potentiated startle. Soc Neurosci Abstr. 1990;16:767. Abstract.

- 46. Teich AH, McCabe PM, Gentile CC, Schneideman LS, Winters RW, Kikowsky DR, Schneideiman N. Auditory cortex lesions prevent extinction of Pavlovian differential heart rate conditioning to tonal stimuli in rabbits. Brain Res. 1989;480:210-218.
- 47. Solomon Z, Mikulincer M, Jakob BR. Exposure to recurrent combat stress: combat stress reactions among Israeli soldiers in the Lebanon War. *Psychol Med.* 1987;17:433-440.
- 48. Solomon Z. Characteristic psychiatric symptomatology of post traumatic stress disorder in Veterans: a three-year follow-up. *Psychol Med*. 1989;19:927-936.
- 49. Antelman SM. Time dependent sensitization as the cornerstone for a new approach to pharmacotherapy: drugs as foreign or stressful stimuli. Drug Dev Res. 1988;14:1-30

8-

ci.

e-

8.

0-

m

0-

ic

ts:

กร

n:

to

e.

g

n.

ce

of

of

ty

li-

- 50. Sorg B, Kalivas PW. Behavioral and neurochemical cross-sensitization between footshock stress and cocaine. *Brain Res.* 1991;559:29-36. 51. Caggiula AR. Antelman SM, Aul E, Knopf S, Edwards DJ. Prior stress
- attenuates the analgesic response but sensitizes the corticosterone and cortical dopamine responses to stress 10 days later. Psychopharmacology. 1989:99:233-237
- 52. Kalivas PW, Duffy P. Similar effects of daily cocaine and stress on mesocorticolimbic dopamine neurotransmission in the rat. Biol Psychiatry. 1989;25:913-928.
- 53. Kalivas PW, Duffy P, Abhold R, Dilts RP. Sensitization of mesolimbic dopamine neurons by neuropeptides and stress. In: Kalivas PW, Barnes CD, eds. Sensitization in the Nervous System. Caldwell, NJ: Telford Press; 1990: 119-124.
- 54. Kalivas PW, Striplin CD, Steketee JD, Klitenick MA, Duffy P. Cellular mechanisms of behavioral sensitization to drugs of abuse. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1992:654:128-135.
- 55. Criswell HE, Mueller RA, Breese GR. Long-term D₁-dopamine receptor sensitization in neonatal 6-OHD-lesioned rats is blocked by an NMDA
- antagonist. Brain Res. 1990;512:284-290.
 56. Anisman H, Sklar LS. Catecholamine depletion upon reexposure to stress: mediation of the escape deficits produced by inescapable shock. J Comp Physiol Psychol. 1978;93:610-625.
- 57. Irwin J, Ahluwalia P, Anisman H. Sensitization of norepinephrine activity following acute and chronic footshock. Brain Res. 1986;379:98-103.
- 58. Nisenbaum LK, Zigmund MJ, Sved AF, Abercrombie ED. Prior exposure to chronic stress results in enhanced synthesis and release of hippocampal norepinephrine in response to a novel stressor. J Neurosci. 1991;11:
- 59. Finlay JM, Abercrombie ED. Stress induced sensitization of norepinephrine release in the medial prefrontal cortex. Soc Neurosci Abstr. 1991; 17:151. Abstract.
- 60. Tsuda A, Tanaka M. Differential changes in noradrenaline turnover in specific regions of rat brain produced by controllable and uncontrollable shocks. Behav Neurosci. 1985;99:802-817.
- 61. Ida Y, Tanaka M, Tsuda A, Tsujimaru S, Nagasaki N. Attenuating effect of diazepam on stress-induced increases in noradrenaline turnover in specific brain regions of rats: antagonism by Ro 15-1788. Life Sci. 1985;37: 2491-2498
- 62. Tsuda A, Tanaka M, Ida Y, Shirao I, Gondoh Y, Oguchi M, Yoshida M. Expression of aggression attenuates stress-induced increases in rat brain noradrenaline turnover. Brain Res. 1988;474:174-180.
- 63. Tsuda A, Tanaka M, Ida Y, Tsujimaru S, Ushijima I, Nagasaki N. Effects of preshock experience on enhancement of rat brain noradrenaline turnover induced by psychological stress. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1986;
- 64. Stone EA. Accumulation and metabolism of norepinephrine in rat hypothalamus after exhaustive stress. *J Neurochem.* 1973;21:589-601.
- 65. Stone EA. Stress and catecholamines. In: Friedhoff AJ, ed. Catecholamines and Behavior. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1975;2:31-72
- 66. Tanaka M, Kohno Y, Nakagawa R, Ida Y, Takeda S, Nagasaki N, Noda Y. Regional characteristics of stress induced increases in brain noradrenaline release in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 1983;19:543-547.
- 67. Tanaka M, Kohno Y, Nakagawa R, Ida Y, Takeda S, Nagasaki N. Time related differences in noradrenergic turnover in rat brain regions by stress. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1982;16:315-319.
- 68. Redmond DE Jr. Studies of the nucleus locus coeruleus in monkeys and hypotheses for neuropsychopharmacology. In: Meltzer HY, ed. *Psychopharmacology: The Third Generation of Progress.* New York, NY: Raven Press; 1987:967-975.
- 69. Abercrombie ED, Jacobs BL. Single-unit response of noradrenergic neurons in the locus coeruleus of freely moving cats, 1: acutely presented
- stressful and nonstressful stimuli. *J Neurosci.* 1987;7:2837-2843.
 70. Foote SL, Bloom FE, Aston-Jones G. Nucleus locus coeruleus: new evidence for anatomical and physiological specificity. Physiol Rev. 1983; 63:844-914.
- 71. Levine ES, Litto WJ, Jacobs BL. Activity of cat locus coeruleus norad-renergic neurons during the defense reaction. *Brain Res.* 1990;531:189-195.
- 72. Simson PE, Weiss JM. Altered activity of the locus coeruleus in an animal model of depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 1988;1:287-295. 73. Simson PE, Weiss JM. Responsiveness of locus coeruleus neurons to excitatory stimulation is uniquely regulated by alpha-2 receptors. *Psycho-*
- pharmacology Bull. 1988;24:349-354.

- 74. Torda T, Kvetnansky R, Petrikova M. Effect of repeated immobilization stress on rat central and peripheral adrenoceptors. In: Usdin E, Kvetnansky R, Axelrod J, eds. Stress: The Role of Catecholamines and Other Neurotransmitters. New York, NY: Gordon & Breach; 1984:691-701.
- 75. U'Prichard DC, Kvetnansky R. Central and peripheral adrenergic receptors in acute and repeated immobilization stress. In: Usdin E, Kvetnansky R, Kopin IJ, eds. Catecholamines and Stress: Recent Advances. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1980:299-308.
- 76. Yocca FD, Friedman E. Effect of immobilization stress on rat pineal betaadrenergic receptor-mediated function. J Neurochem. 1984;42:1427-1432.
- 77. Charney DS, Heninger GR, Breier A. Noradrenergic function in panic anxiety: effects of yohimbine in healthy subjects and patients with agoraphobia and panic disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1984;41:751-763.
- 78. Charney DS, Woods SW, Goodman WK, Heninger GR. Neurobiological mechanisms of panic anxiety: biochemical and behavioral correlates of yohimbine-induced panic attacks. Am J Psychiatry. 1987;144:1030-1036.
- Green BL, Grace MC, Lindy JP, Gleser GC, Leonard A. Risk factors for PTSD and other diagnoses in a general sample of Vietnam veterans. Am J Psychiatry. 1990;147:729-733.
- 80. Shirao I, Tsuda A, Yoshisshige I, Tsujimaru S, Satoh H, Oguchi M, Tanaka M, Inanaga K. Effect of acute ethanol administration on noradrenaline metabolism in brain regions of stressed and nonstressed rats. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1988;30:769-773.
- 81. Tanaka M, Kohnoy, Tsuda A, Nakagawa R, Ida Y, Limori K, Nagasaki N. Differential effects of morphine on noradrenaline release in brain regions
- of stressed and non-stressed rats. *Brain Res.* 1983;275:105-115. 82. Meakes JC, Wilson RM. The effect of certain sensory stimulations on respiratory and heart rate in case of 'so-called' irritable heart. Heart, 1918:
- 83. Peabody FW, Clough HD, Sturgis LC, Wearn JJ, Thompkins EH. Effects of injection of norepinephrine in soldiers with 'irritable heart.' JAMA. 1918; 21:1912-1917.
- 84. Grinker RR, Spiegle JJ. Men Under Stress. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill International Book Co; 1945:219.
- 85. Orr SP. Psychophysiologic studies of post traumatic stress disorder. In: Giller EL, ed. Biological Assessment and Treatment of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press Inc, 1990. 86. Blanchard EB, Kolb LC, Pallmeyer TP, Gerardi RJ. A psychophysio-
- logical study of post-traumatic stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. Psychiatr 1982;54:220-229.
- 87. Blanchard EB, Kolb LC, Gerardi RJ, Ryan P, Pallmeyer TP. Cardiac response to relevant stimuli as an adjunctive tool for diagnosing post-traumatic
- stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. Behav Ther. 1986;17:592-606. 88. Malloy PF, Fairbank JA, Keane TM. Validation of a multimethod assessment of post-traumatic stress disorders in Vietnam veterans. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1983;51:488-494.
- 89. Pallmeyer TP, Blanchard EB, Kolb LC. The psychophysiology of combat-induced post-traumatic stress disorder in Vietnam veterans. Behav Res Ther. 1986;24:645-652.
- 90. Pitman RK, Orr SP, Forgue DF, deJong JB, Claiborn JM. Psychophysiologic assessment of post-traumatic stress disorder in Vietnam combat veterans. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1987;44:970-975.
 91. McFall MF, Murburg MM, Ko GM, Veith RC. Autonomic responses to
- stress in Vietnam veterans with post traumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychol.* 1990;27:1165-1175.
- 92. Aston-Jones G, Shipley MT, Chouvet G, Ennis M, VanBockstaele EJ, Pieribone V, Shiekhattar R. Afferent regulation of locus coeruleus neurons: anatomy, physiology and pharmacology. *Prog Brain Res.* 1991;88:47-75.
- 93. Boudewyns PA, Hyer L. Psychophysiological response to combat memories and preliminary treatment outcome in Vietnam veteran PTSD pa-
- tients treated with direct therapeutic exposure. Behav Ther. 1990;21:63-87.
 94. Keane T, Fairbank J, Caddell JM, Zimering RT. Implosive therapy reduces symptoms of PTSD in Vietnam combat veterans. Behav Ther. 1989;20:245-260.
- 95. Krystal JH, Kosten TR, Perry BD, Southwick S, Mason JW, Giller EL. Neurobiological aspects of PTSD: review of clinical and preclinical studies. *Behav Ther.* 1989;20:177-198.
- 96. Kosten TR, Mason JW, Giller EL, Ostroff RB, Harkness L. Sustained urinary norepinephrine and epinephrine elevation in posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 1987;12:13-20.
- 97. Yehuda R, Southwick SM, Giller E, Ma X, Mason J. Urinary catecholamine excretion and symptom severity in combat post-traumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1992;180:321-325.
- 98. Perry BD, Giller EL, Southwick SM. Altered platelet alpha: adrenergic binding sites in posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1987;144: 1511-1512.
- 99. Southwick SM, Krystal JH, Morgan CA, Johnson D, Nagy LM, Nico-laou A, Heninger GR, Charney DS. Abnormal noradrenergic function in posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. In press.

 100. Charney DS, Woods SW, Krystal JH, Nagy LM, Heninger GR. Nora-
- drenergic neuronal dysregulation in panic disorder: the effects of intravenous yohimbine and clonidine in panic disorder patients. Acta Psychiatrica Scand.
- 101. Charney DS, Woods SW, Price LH, Goodman WK, Glazer WM, Heninger GR. Noradrenergic dysregulation in panic disorder. In: Ballenger

IC, ed. Neurobiology of Panic Disorder. New York, NY: Wiley Liss; 1990:91-105.

102. Kolb LC. A theoretical model for planning treatment of post traumatic stress disorders of combat. Curr Psychiatr Ther. 1986;23:119-127

103. Kolb LC. Chronic post traumatic stress disorder: implications of recent epidemiological and neuropsychological studies. Psychol Med. 1989; 19:821-824.

104. Kinzie JD, Leung P. Clonidine in Cambodian patients with post traumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1989;177:546-550.

105. Friedman MJ. Biological approaches to the diagnosis and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder. J Traumatic Stress. 1991;4:67-91

106. Weiss JM, Bailey WH, Pohorecky LA, Korzeniowski D, Grillione G. Stress induced depression of motor activity correlates with regional changes in brain norepinephrine but not in dopamine. Neurochem Res. 1980;5:9-22.

107. Weiss JM, Goodman PA, Losito BG, Corrigan S, Charry JM, Bailey WH. Behavioral depression produced by an uncontrollable stressor: relationship to norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin levels in various regions

of rat brain. *Brain Res Rev.* 1981;3:167-205. 108. Thierry AM, Tassin JP, Blanc G, Glowinski J. Selective activation of

the mesocortical DA system by stress. *Nature*. 1976;263:242-243.

109. Deutch AY, Tain S-Y, Roth RH. Footshock and conditioned stress increase 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DDOPAC) in the ventral tegmental area but not substantia nigra. Brain Res. 1985;333:143-146.

110. Claustre Y, Rivy JP, Dennis T, Scatton B. Pharmacological studies on stress induced increase in frontal cortical dopamine metabolism in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1986;238:693-700.

111. Dunn AJ. Stress-related activation of cerebral dopaminergic system. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1988;537:188-205.

112. Roth RH, Tam S-Y, Ida Y, Yang J-XX, Deutch AY. Stress and the me-

socorticolimbic dopamine systems. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1988;537:138-147. 113. Scatton B, D'Angio M, Driscoll P, Serrano A. An in vivo voltammetric study of the response of mesocorticolimbic and mesoaccumbens dopaminergic neurons to environmental stimuli in strains of rats with differing levels of emotionality. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1988;537:124-137.

114. Ravard S, Carnoy P, Herve D, Tassin J-P, Thiebot M-H, Soubrie P. Involvement of prefrontal dopamine neurones in behavioral blockade induced by controllable vs. uncontrollable negative events in rats. Behav Brain Res. 1990;37:9-18.

115. Deutch AY, Clark WA, Roth RH. Prefrontal cortical dopamine depletion enhances the responsiveness of mesolimbic dopamine neurons to stress. Brain Res. 1990;521:311-315.

116. Deutch AY, Roth RH. The determinants of stress induced activation of the prefrontal cortical dopamine system. Prog Brain Res. 1990;85:367-403.

117. Abercrombie ED, Keefe KA, DiFrischia DS, Zigmund MJ. Differential effect of stress on in vivo dopamine release in striatum, nucleus accumbens. and medial frontal cortex. J Neurochem. 1989;52:1655-1658.

118. Herman J-P, Guilloneau PP, Dantzer R, Scatton B, Semerdjian-Roquier S, LeMoal M. Differential effects of inescapable footshock and of stimuli previously paired with inescapable footshocks on DA turnover in cortical and limbic areas of the rat. Science, 1982:23:1549-1556.

119. Mantz J, Thierry AM, Glowinski J. Effect of noxious tail pinch on the discharge rate of mesocortical and mesolimbic dopamine neurons; selective activation of the mesocortical system. Brain Res. 1989;476:377-381.

120. Deutch AY, Lee MC, Gillham MH, Cameron D, Goldstein M, Iadorola MJ. Stress selectively increases for protein in dopamine neurons innervating the prefrontal cortex. Cerebral Cortex. 1991;1:273-292.

121. Deutch AY. Heterogeneity of the prefrontal cortical dopamine system in responsiveness to stress. Soc Neurosci Abstr. 1991;17:529. Abstract.

122. Deutch AY. The regulation of subcortical dopamine systems by the prefrontal cortex: interactions of central dopamine systems and the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *J Neural Transm*. 11992;36(suppl):61-89. 123. Kalivas PW, Abhold R. Enkephalin release into the ventral tegmental

area in response to stress: modulation of mesocorticolimbic dopamine. Brain Res. 1987;414:339-348.

124. Kalivas PW, Duffy P, Barrow J. Regulation of the mesocorticolimbic dopamine system by glutamic acid receptor subtypes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1989;251:378-387.

125. Miller JD, Speciale SG, McMillen BA, German DC. Naloxone antagonism of stress-induced augmentation of frontal cortex dopamine metabolism. Eur J Pharmacol. 1984;98:437-439.

126. Bannon MJ, Elliott PJ, Alpert JE, Goedert M, Iversen SD, Iversen LL. Role of endogenous substance P in stress induced activation of mesocortical dopamine neurons. *Nature*. 1983;306:791-792.

127. Antelman SM, Eichler AJ, Black CA, Kocan D. Interchangeability of stress and amphetamine in sensitization. Science. 1980;207:329-331.

128. Robinson TE, Angus AL, Becker JB. Sensitization to stress: the enduring effects of prior stress on amphetamine induced rotational behavior. *Life Sci.* 1985;37:1039-1042.

129. Maclennan AJ, Maier SF. Coping and stress induced potentiation of stimulant stereotype in the rat. *Science*. 1983;219:1091-1093.

130. Satel SL, Southwick SM, Gawin FM. Clinical features of cocaine-

induced paranoia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1991;148:495-499. 131. Goldman-Rakic PS. Cellular and circuit basis of working memory in prefrontal cortex of nonhuman primates. In: Uylings HBM, VanEden CG, DeBruin JPC, Corner MA, Feenstra MPG, eds. *The Prefrontal Cortex: Its* Structure, Function, and Pathology. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1990.

132. Bertolucci-D'Angio M, Serrano A, Driscoll P, Scatton B. Involvement of mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic systems in emotional states. In: Uylings HBM, VanEden CG, DeBruin JPC, Corner MA, Feenstra MPG, eds. The Prefrontal Cortex: Its Structure, Function, and Pathology. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers: 1990.

133. Deutch AY, Roth RH. The determinants of stress-induced activation of the prefrontal cortical dopamine system. In: Uylings HBM, VanEden CG. DeBruin JPC, Corner MA, Feenstra MPG, eds. The Prefrontal Cortex: Its Structure, Function, and Pathology. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1990.

134. Friedman MJ. Toward rational pharmacotherapy for posttraumatic stress disorder: an interim report. Am J Psychiatry. 1988;145:281-285.

135. Bleich A, Siegel B, Garb R, Lerer B. Post-traumatic stress disorder following combat exposure: clinical features and psychopharmacological treatment. Br J Psychiatry. 1986;149:365-369.

136. Jackson RL, Maier SF, Coon DJ. Long term analgesic effects of inescapable shock and learned helplessness. Science. 1979;206:91-93.

137. Hyson RL, Ashcraft LJ, Drugan RC, Grau JW, Maier SF. Extent and control of shock affects naltrexone sensitivity of stress-induced analgesia and reactivity to morphine. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1982;17:1019-1025.

138. Williams JL, Drugan RC, Maier SF. Exposure to uncontrollable stress alters withdrawal from morphine. Behav Neurosci. 1984;98:836-846.

139. Hemingway RB, Reigle TG. The involvement of endogenous opiate systems in learned helplessness and stress-induced analgesia. Psychopharmacology. 1987;93:353-357.

140. Fanselow MS. Conditioned fear-induced opiate analgesia: a competing motivational state theory of stress analgesia. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1986;

141. Maier SF. Stressor controllability and stress induced analgesia. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1986;467:55-72.

142. Madden J, Akil H, Patrick RL, Barchas JD. Stress induced parallel changes in central opioid levels and pain responsiveness in the rat. Nature. 1977;265:358-360.

143. Amir S, Brown ZW, Amit Z. The role of endorphins in stress: evidence and speculations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1986;4:77-86.

144. Stuckey J, Marra S, Minor T, Insel TR. Changes in MU opiate receptors following inescapable shock. Brain Res. 1989;476:167-169

145. Willer JC, Dehen H, Cambier J. Stress-induced analgesia in humans: endogenous opioids and naloxone-reversible depression of pain reflexes. Science. 1981;212:689-691.

146. Pitman RK, vanderKolk BA, Orr SP, Greenberg MSSS. Naloxonereversible analgesic response to combat-related stimuli in post traumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1990;47:541-544

147. Beecher HK. Pain in men wounded in battle. Ann Surg. 1946;123: 96-105.

148. Salloway S, Southwick S, Sadowsky M. Opiate withdrawal presenting as posttraumatic stress disorder. Hosp Community Psychiatry. 1990;41: 666-667

149. McEwen BS, DeKloet ER, Rostene W. Adrenal steroid receptors and actions in the nervous system. *Physiol Rev.* 1986;66:1121-1188. 150. Herman JP, Schafer MKH, Young EA, Thompson R, Douglass J, Akil

H, Watson SJ. Evidence for hippocampal regulation of neuroendocrine neurons of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. Neuroscience. 1984; 9:3072-3082

151. Sapolsky RM, Plotsky PM. Hypercortisolism and its possible neural

bases. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1990;27:937-952. 152. Song L-N. Stress-induced changes in glucorticoid receptors: molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 1991;80:

153. Sapolsky R, Krey L, McEwen BS. Glucorticoid-sensitive hippocampal neurons are involved in terminating the adrenocortical stress response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1984:81:6174-6178.

154. Sapolsky R, Krey L, McEwen BS. Stress downregulates corticosterone receptors in a site specific manner in the brain. Endocrinology. 1984;114:

155. Kant GJ, Eggleston T, Landman-Roberts L, Kenion CC, Driver GC, Meyerhoff JL. Habituation to repeated stress in stressor specific. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1985:22:631-634.

156. Mason JW. Psychoendocrine approaches in stress research. In: Symosium of Medical Aspects of Stress in the Military Climate. Washington, DC: Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, US Government Printing Office;

1965:375-417 157. Kant GJ, Leu JR, Anderson SM, Mougey EH. Effects of chronic stress on plasma corticosterone, ACTH and prolactin. Physiol Behav. 1987;40:

158. Amario A, Castellanos JM, Balasch J. Adaptation of anterior pituitary hormones to chronic noise stress in male rats. Behav Neural Biol. 1984;41:

159. Irwin J, Ahluwalia P, Zacharko RM, Anisman H. Central norepinephrine and plasma corticosterone following acute and chronic stressors: influence of social isolation and handling. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1986;24:1151-1154

160. Hennessy MB, Levine S. Sensitive pituitary-adrenal responsiveness to

varying intensities of psychological stimulation. Physiol Behav. 1978;21: 295-297

er

h-

οf

tic

nd

nd

255

ar-

16:

กก

ce

p-

:3:

1:

kil

raí

0:

ne

C

ol

55

0:

ry 1:

to

161. Hennessy MB, Levine S. Effects of various habituation procedures on pituitary-adrenal responsiveness in the mouse, Physiol Behav, 1977;18:199

162. Armario A, Restrepo C, Castellanos JM, Baslasch J. Dissociation between adrenocorticotropin and corticosterone responses to restraint stress after previous exposure to stress. *Life Sci.* 1985;37:2085-2092, 163. Ramade F. Bayle JD. Adaptation of the adrenocortical response during

repeated stress in thalamic pigeons. Neuroendocrinology. 1984;39:245-250.

164. Gamallo A, Villanna A, Trandro G, Fraile A. Stress adaptation and adrenal activity in isolated and crowded rat. Physiol Behav. 1989;26:829-841

165. Ottenweller AT, Natelson BH, Pitman DL, Drestal SD. Adrenocortical and behavioral responses to repeated stressors: toward an animal model of chronic stress and stress-related mental illness. Biol Behav. 1986;36:217-221

166. Dallman MF, Jones MT. Corticosteroid feedback control of ACTH secretion: effect of stress-induced corticosterone secretion on subsequent stress responses in the rat. *Endocrinology*. 1973;92:1367-1375. 167. Caggiula AR, Antelman SM, Aul E, Knopf S, Edwards DJ. Prior stress

attenuates the analgesic response but sensitizes the corticosterone and cortical dopamine responses to stress 10 days later. *Psychopharmacology*. 1989;999:233-237.

168. Yehuda R, Giller EL, Southwick SM, Lawry MT, Mason JW. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal dysfunction in post traumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1991;30:1031-1048.

169. Edwards E, Harkins K, Wright G, Henn F. Effects of bilateral adrenalectomy on the induction of learned helplessness. Behav Neuropsychopharmacol. 1990:3:109-114.

170. Uno H, Tarara R, Else J, Suleman M, Sapolsky R. Hippocampal damage associated with prolonged and fatal stress in primates. J Neurosci. 1989;

171. Sapolsky RM, Uno Hideo, Rebert CS, Finch CE. Hippocampal damage associated with prolonged glucocorticoid exposure in primates. J Neurosci. 1990;10:2897-2902.

172. Wynn PC, Hauger RL, Holmes MC, Millon MA, Catt KJ, Aguilera G. Brain and pituitary receptors for corticotropin releasing factor: localization and differential regulation after adrenalectomy. Peptides. 1984;5: 1077-1084

173. Koob GF, Bloom FE. Corticotropin-releasing factor and behavior. Fed Proc. 1985:44:259-263.

174. Gold PW, Goodwin FK, Chrousos GP. Clinical and biochemical manifestations of depression. N Engl J Med. 1988;319:413-420.

175. Dunn AJ, Berridge CW. Physiological and behavioral responses to corticotropin-releasing factor administration: is CRF a mediator of anxiety or stress responses. *Brain Res Rev.* 1990;15:71-100.

176. Chappell PB, Smith MA, Kitts CD, Bissette G, Ritchie J, Anderson C, Nemeroff CB. Alterations in corticotropin-releasing factor-like immunoreactivity in discrete rat brain regions after acute and chronic stress. J Neurosci. 1986;6:2908-2914.

177. Dunn AJ, Berridge CW. Corticotropin-releasing factor administration elicits a stress like activation of cerebral catecholaminergic systems. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 1987;27:685-691.

178. Valentino RJ, Foote SL. Corticotropin-releasing hormone increases tonic but not sensory-evoked activity of noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons in unanesthetized rats. J Neurosci. 1988;8:1016-1025.

179. Butler PD, Weiss JM, Stout JC, Nemeroff CB. Corticotropin-releasing factor produces fear-enhancing and behavioral activating effects following infusion into the locus coeruleus. J Neurosci. 1990;10:176-183.

180. Koegler SM, Kilts CD, Owens MJ, Ervin GN, Bissette G, Nemeroff CB. The effect of bilateral electrolytic lesions of the central amygdaloid nucleus on the concentration of corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) in microdissected brain regions. Soc Neurosci Abstr. 1991;17:663. Abstract.

181. Owens MJ, Vargas MA, Knight DL, Nemeroff CB. The effects of alprazolam on corticotropin releasing factors neurons in rat brain: acute time course, chronic treatment, and abrupt withdrawal. J Pharmacol Exp Ther.

182. Dunn AJ, Berridge CW. Corticotropin-releasing factor administration results in a stress-like activation of cerebral catecholaminergic systems. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1987;27:685-691.

183. Kalivas PW, Duffy P, Latimer LG. Neurochemical and behavioral effects of corticotropin-releasing factor in the ventral tegmental area of the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1987;252:757-763.

184. Gee KW, Chang WD, Brinton RE, McEwen BS. GABA-dependent modulation of the Cl-ionophore by steroids in rat brain. Eur J Pharmacol. 1987;136:419-423.

185. Majewska MD, Harrison NL, Schwartz RD, Barker JL, Paul SM. Steroid hormones are barbiturate-like modulators of the GABA receptor. *Science*. 1986;232:1004-1007.

186. Morrow AL, Suzdak PD, Paul SM. Steroid hormone metabolites potentiate GABA receptor-mediated chloride ion flux with nanomolar potency. Eur J Pharmacol. 1989;142:483-485.

187. Harrison NL, Simmonds MA. Modulation of the GABA receptor complex by steroid anaesthetic. Brain Res. 1984;323:287-292.
188. Paul SM, Purdy RH. Neuroactive steroids. FASEB J. 1992;6:2311-

189. Purdy HP, Morrow AL, Moore Jr, PH, Paul SM. Stress-induced elevations of GABA₄ receptor-active steroids in the rat brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1991;88:4553-4557

190. Crawley JN, Glowa JR, Majewska MD, Paul SM. Anxiolytic activity of an endogenous adrenal steroid. Brain Res. 1986;398:382-385

191. Grobin AC, Roth RH, Deutch AY. Regulation of the prefrontal cortical dopamine system by neuroactive steroid 3a,21-dihydroxy-5a-pregnaneone. Brain Res. 1992;578:351-356.

192. Rose RM. Overview of endocrinology of stress. In: Brown G, ed. Neuroendocrinology and Psychiatric Disorder. New York, NY: Raven Press; 1984:95-122.

193. Mason JW, Giller EL, Kosten TR, Yehuda R. Psychoendocrine approaches to the diagnosis and pathogenesis of post traumatic stress disorder. In: Giller E, ed. Biological Assessment and Treatment of PTSD. Wash-

ington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1990:65-86. 194. Mason JW, Giller EL, Kosten TR, Ostroff RB, Pohl L. Urinary free cortisol levels in post traumatic stress disorder patients. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1976;

195. Yehuda R, Southwick S, Nussbaum G, Wahby V, Giller EL Jr, Mason JW. Low urinary cortisol excretion in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1990;178:366-369.

196. Pitman R, Orr S. Twenty-four hour urinary cortisol and catecholamine excretion in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 1990;27:245-247.

197. Yehuda R, Lowy MT, Southwick SM, Shaffer S, Giller EL. Increased number of glucocorticoid receptors in post-traumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 1991;144:499-504

198. Smith MA, Davidson J, Ritchie JC, Kudler H, Lipper S, Chappell P, Nemeroff CB. The corticotropin releasing hormone test in patients with post traumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 1989;26:349-355.

199. Yehuda R, Giller EL, Boisoneau D, Lowy M, Southwick SM, Mason JW. Low dose DST in PTSD. In proceedings and abstracts of the 144th Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association; New Orleans, La; 1991:144.

200. Crawley JW, Maas JW, Roth RM. Biochemical evidence for simultaneous activation of multiple locus coeruleus afferents. Life Sci. 1980;26:

201. Iwata J, Chida K, LeDoux JE. Cardiovascular responses elicited by stimulation of neurons in the central amygdaloid nucleus in awake but not anesthetized rats resemble conditioned emotional responses. Brain Res. 1987:418:183-188.

202. LeDoux JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ, Different projections of the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral correlates of conditioned fear. J Neurosci. 1988;8:2517-2529.

203. Thygesen P, Hermann K, Willanger R. Concentration camp survivors in Denmark: persecution, disease, compensation. Dan Med Bull. 1970;17: 65-108.

204. Bitinger L. The concentration camp syndrome and its late sequelae. In: Dimsdale J, ed. Survivors, Victims, and Perpetrators: Essays on the Nazi Holocaust. Washington, DC: Hemisphere; 1980.

205. Goldstein G, vanKammen W, Shelly C, Miller DJ, vanKammen DP. Survivors of imprisonment in the Pacific theater during World War II. Am J Psychiatry. 1987;144:1210-1213

206. Sutker PB, Galina ZH, West JA, Allgin AN. Trauma-induced weight loss and cognitive deficits among former prisoners of war. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1990;58:323-328.

207. Sutker PB, Winstead DK, Galina ZH, Allain AN. Cognitive deficits and psychopathology among former prisoners of war and combat veterans of the Korean conflict. Am J Psychiatry. 1991;148:67-72.

208. McGaugh JL. Involvement of hormonal and neuromodulatory systems in the regulation of memory storage. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1989;2:255-

209. McGaugh JL. Significance and remembrance: the role of neuromodulatory systems. *Psychol Sci.* 1990;1:15-25. 210. Pitman RK. Posttraumatic stress disorder, hormones, and memory

(editorial). Biol Psychiatry. 1989;26:221-223.

211. Squire LR. Mechanisms of memory. Science. 1986;232:1612-1619. 212. Devanges V, Sara SJ. Memory retrieval enhancement by locus coeruleus stimulation: evidence for mediation by B-receptors. Behav Brain Res.

1991:43:93-97 213. Sara SJ, Devanges V. Idazoxan, an alpha-2 antagonist, facilitates memory retrieval in the rat. Behav Neural Biol. 1989;51:401-411.

214. Squire LR, Zola-Morgan S. The medial temporal lobe memory system. Science. 1991;253:2380-2386.

 Mishkin M, Appenzeller T. The anatomy of memory. Sci Am. 1987; 256:80-89.

216. Davis M. The role of the amygdala in fear and anxiety. Am Rev Neu-

rosci. 1992;15:353-375. 217. Cook EW III, Hawk LW Jr, Davis TL, Stevenson VE. Affective individual

differences and startle reflex modulation. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 1991;100:5-13. 218. Lang PJ, Bradley MM, Cuthbert BN. Emotion, attention, and the startle reflex. Psychol Rev. 1990;97:377-395.

219. Grillon C, Ameli R, Woods SW, Merikangas K, Davis M. Fearpotentiated startle in humans: effect of anticipatory anxiety on the acoustic blink reflex. Psychophysiology. 1991;28:588-595.